3041

April 13, 2015

RECEIVED

2015 APR 13 PM 1: 09

John Mizner, Esquire Chairman IRRC

RE: PUC proposed Rule Making

Hoy Transfer, Inc. would like to make known our opinion on a few items that may have been overlooked in the Rule Making process.

Our biggest concern is the likelihood of "Rogue" movers operating in the state of Pennsylvania and their impact on customers and employees. All movers granted operating authority in Pennsylvania should be required to have a "brick and mortar" presence. All should be required to meet the insurance minimums as currently set forth by the PUC. This will help ensure the customers' belongings are protected, the general public safety is protected, and the employee is protected. Failure to include these requirements will leave the consumer with no way to have a personal relationship with their mover. It will leave openings for these "Rogue" movers to just disappear when it's convenient for them.

We have been against the proposed Rule Making and the expected impact on consumers, moving companies and employees from the beginning. Allowing these movers to provided services without these requirements does nothing for the consumer except maybe, and that is a big maybe, a lower price. But what do they get for that price? Without regulation, what stops these "Rogue" movers from keeping the best interest of the consumer instead of their own? Where does the consumer go if there is a problem and the mover does not have a physical domicile in the state? What protection does the consumer have?

Respectfully Submitted

R. Kevin Briscoe,

Owner/President

Hoy Transfer, Inc.